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Abstract: 245 words
Over the last 20 years, the world economy has induced more competition and cooperation. To understand how cooperation is complementary to competition, the paper proposes to analyze both sides of the market economy: the competition side where agents compete with each other and the cooperation side where agents need to cooperate with each other. Despite a rising economic growth during the last thirty years, recent studies on happiness also show a gap between economic growth and life satisfaction (Eeasterlin, 1974, Helliwell, Layard and Sachs, 2016). This work seeks to study the conditions of a more inclusive economy allowing individuals and organizations to reach better efficiency and happiness. To realize this paradigmatic revolution, the paper suggests using the results of Shapiro and Stiglitz’s efficiency wage theory for investigating necessary conditions for a more inclusive economy. In an inclusive growth, two aspects must be analyzed: the long run sustainable happiness and efficiency specialization for agents and countries and their short run daily happiness and efficiency. In the first part, the paper analyzes how recent world changes evolve to use a complexity theory (Le Moigne, 1995) which proposes qualitative inter-dependences between rationality and emotion for reaching rising efficiency. In the second part, the paper demonstrates how the individual strategies of the agents, led by the search for increasing happiness, may induce some long run innovations for the whole society. In the last part, the paper proposes concrete short run actions for greater individual and collective happiness and efficiency.
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Over the last 30 years, the world economy has evolved at great speed and had induced two main changes. The first change concerns the rising paradox situation because countries have become more and more inter-dependants. On the one hand, globalization induces rising competition and on the other hand the Knowledge Economy must induce rising cooperation. The second change concerns a certain disconnection between the rise of economic growth and the rise in happiness or life satisfaction1. Despite a rising economic growth during the last thirty years, recent studies on happiness show in effect a rising gap between economic growth and the life satisfaction. In the Social Progress Index built by Porter (2016), the USA for example reached the 5th world place world-wise range for its GDP/head in PPP $ but only 32th place for the well-being index. Since the Wealth of nations of Adam Smith (1796), the basic
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The starting point of this work is to analyze the conditions of a more inclusive economy in order to enable individuals and organizations to reach better efficiency and happiness. To realize a paradigmatic revolution, the paper suggests using the results of Shapiro and Stiglitz’s efficiency wage theory. By putting human relations first, individuals will become more inventive and the sustainable economic growth may be reached. The common point of the efficiency wage theories and the inclusive growth is to reject equilibrium situations and linear economic development of countries (the Rostow’s stages (1960) in economic growth). Defining inclusive growth is difficult because the authors fail to reach to a consensus. For some authors, inclusive growth is defined in an isolated sense and implies the reduction of inequalities for reducing the poverty across countries (Rauniyar and Kanbur, 2010). For the others, and it is the view defended in this paper, inclusive growth involves, in an enlarged sense, a global analysis of a sustainable growth capable of taking into account economic, social and environmental conditions (Stiglitz, Sen et Fitoussi, 2008). In both of the cases, inclusive growth is a dynamic development which is analyzed as a process (Klasen, 2010) and not as a result. Both of the following have to be studied in the inclusive growth process: how the long run trajectory of growth may involve a “Happiness Advantage” for individuals (Achor, 2010) and sustainable “Competitive Advantage” for organizations (Porter, 1990). In the long term analysis, the inclusive growth approach focuses on production views: how to increase production in order to respond to the demands of all consumers. For that reason, the use of the dynamic diamond allows relying supply to demand and cooperation to competition relationships. To define an inclusive growth for everyone in the long run, it is also fundamental to rethink the direct and indirect links between the microeconomics and macro-economic determinants. The microeconomic dimension captures the strategies of each agent to reach better happiness and income through an innovation process in mobilizing his system 1 and system 2. The macro dimension refers to the institutional environment in terms of efficient organization and international openness in goods, capital, and knowledge mobility and dynamic cooperation and competition processes. As there is no linear evolution, the time process of inclusive growth also needs to be studied in the short run in order to investigate the concrete actions of individuals and organizations. The use of Emotional Intelligence induces greater happiness for individuals (Goleman, 2011). The use Competitive Intelligence induces more efficiency for the organizations (Jakobiak, 2004).

In the first part, the paper analyzes how recent world changes evolve to use a complexity theory which proposes qualitative inter-dependences between rationality and emotion for
reaching rising economic and social efficiency. In the second part, the paper demonstrates how the individual strategies of the agents, led by the research of increasing happiness may induce some long run innovations for the whole society. In the last part, the paper proposes concrete short run actions for greater individual and collective well-being and efficiency.

I. THE COMPLEXITY APPROACHES LINK ECONOMIC WEALTH AND HAPPINESS

Over the last 30 years, the free movements of goods, capital assets and knowledge among countries had induced rising competition and the new place of emerging countries in the world economy (Aghion and al, 2005, D’Aveni, 2012, Helpman and Krugman, 1985). On the other side, the complexity of the new International “Division of Cognitive Labor” (D.C.L.) involving a knowledge specialization necessitates more cooperation within each organization (Brown & Duguid, 1991, Nelson and Winter, 1982). In this process, agents need to cooperate with others to co-build new knowledge. Despite the rising economic growth of all countries for the last 30 years, happiness does not follow the economic growth (Clark, Flèche, and Senik, 2016, Eeasterlin, 1974, Inglehart, & Baker, 2000). For example, the USA for example reaches the 5th world place for its GDP/head in PPP $ but only the 32th place for the in the Social Progress Index built by Porter (2016). The Attali Working Group on the “positive economy” remarks for example, that France is ranked 5th for her economic activity but only 22nd for her social and environment activity (Attali, 2013).

In order to decrease the gap between wealth and happiness, some economists recommend using “economic war” tools in order to increase their economic power on the world market (Baumard, 2012; Harbulot, 2014). On the contrary, other economists propose a rising human development where everybody could live together in happiness (Attali, 2013, Delmas-Marty, 2016, Morin, 2011, Sen, 1999). However, these two binary answers have to be analyzed into a complexity approach which is the only one which allows thinking and acting in a complex world. Using the complexity approach enables the linking of opposite factors: individuals and organizations, local and global dynamics, long run and short run horizons, top down approach or bottom up approach, rational or emotional behaviors (Kahneman, 2011, Goleman, 2014). The complexity approach links opposite factors into qualitative inter-dependences of order (organization) and complexity (innovation). Through this dynamic process, the complexity sciences analyze these interdependences across several time periods (long run, short run) and several areas are considered (local, global). The complexity approaches can be formalized by a dynamic “diamond” (Le Moigne, 1995) or a dynamic “wind rose” (Delmas-Marty, 2016). Both of them interlink two opposite behaviors (security versus freedom, competition versus cooperation) in order to innovate and reach better economic and social efficiency (Figure 1). The links of these two opposite factors are today important to reach happiness and efficiency in a knowledge economy. With the Division of Cognitive Labor (DCL), individuals have to learn to use more and more cooperation relations for innovating together (Muldoon, 2013). With the uncertainly of the global economy, individuals have to develop both reason and emotion behaviors (Goleman, 2014, Kahneman, 2011).
1. Linking competition and cooperation strengths

Since 2000, the world Cognitive Labor Division (C. L. D.) involves that individuals and organizations have to learn to use more cooperation relations for innovating together (Muldoon, 2013). This new approach of the cooperation is opposite to the preceding period (1980-2000) where global firms practice non price specialization (Krugman and Helpman, 1985) in choosing each country to efficiency realize one part of the output process (research, producing, selling) and thus make the countries in competition (the world Manufacturing Labor Division -M.L.D.-).

Since the beginning of political economy, we meet competition and cooperation relationships. The classical economic theory (Smith, 1776) separates economic relationships founded on the “labor value theory” from the social relationships based on the ‘fair price’ of Santa Thomas Aquinas and from the political relationships, developed by the Mercantilism theory. However, Smith, in his first book on the “theory of moral sentiments” ([1750] 1991) and others classical economists such as Hume ([1759] 1991) or Mills ([1833] 1981) analyze the interrelations which exist between these three types of fields: economic, social and politics. The global approaches of Economics were developed by the institutional approaches (Veblen, 1895; Polanyi, 1944) which insists on the role of the institutions to stabilize the economic and social relationships. During the Post-Second World War period (1945-1975), the global approach mixing economic, social and politics were analyzed by the post-Keynesian economists, and particularly the French School of Regulation (Aglietta, 1976; Boyer and Mistral, 1978) who highlight the role of three national institutions (employment relationships, money, and public welfare) to involve a virtuous circle between the economic growth and the standard of living in advanced countries. Today, the approach of inclusive economy involves a global approach linking economic, social and politic. Defining inclusive growth is difficult because all the authors fail to reach to a consensus. For some authors, inclusive growth must be defined in small sense and implies to reduce inequalities for reducing the poor among the country (Rauniyar and Kanbur, 2010). For the others, and it is the view defended in this paper, inclusive growth is defined in a large sense and involves a global analysis of a sustainable growth able to take into account economic, social and environmental conditions (Stiglitz, Sen et Fitoussi, 2008). In the two cases, inclusive growth is a dynamic development which is analyzed as a process (Klasen, 2010) and non as a result.
The complexity approaches, developed by Edgar Morin (1981), and Arthur Koestler (1988), show the role of feedbacks between organizations and markets. The dynamic feedback between individuals are able to co-create an intermediary level (network, communities of practice) in order to stabilize the behaviors of the individuals in producing “regularities by disorder” and in producing “complexity by disorder” (Atlan, 1979). With the knowledge economy during the nineties, we observe a renewal of the complexity theory with Le Moigne (1995) and Foray and Bengt-Ake (1996). Economists as Daniel Cohen (2013) and Jacques Attali (2013) consider it increasingly necessary to study the conditions of the individuals’ happiness to propose a new economic regime, more founded on human relationships. The complexity approach formalizes the interactions which exist between individuals, organizations, and environment which are co-built by a combination of all of them. In an economy which tends to become inclusive, it is important to analyze the contradictory relationships which exist between the degree of liberty of each individual and the degree of cohesion of the whole society. In this analysis, the agents have to stay open to the external environment in order to innovate and have to be constraint by the internal organization through the technical and social path dependency.

The key element in the complexity approach is therefore to dynamically connect the competition and the cooperation relationships. On the one hand, the cooperation relationships involve some increasing external scale economies for all the agents. On the other hand, the authority relationships give a stable direction that agents could follow over a certain period of time. The disorder process and the authority process co-evolve to exploit the innovations which emerge from these frequent interactions. In the hard sciences such as Mathematics, Edgar Morin, 1981 already shows that the “negative feedbacks” (orders created by disorder) are more important than the “positive feedbacks”. Contrary to the soft sciences, as in the social sciences, the positive feedbacks (involving complexity) are more important that negative feedbacks (involving stability). Jean-Louis Le Moigne (1995) analyzes the specific case of the engineering sciences which are in between the hard sciences and the soft sciences. This intermediary position is interesting because this level has specific proprieties. Leonardo da Vinci noted, referring to the painting Mona Lisa, how he wanted to paint “a budding smile”. Along the same lines, Paul Valery (1989) evoked the specificity of the “water’s surface” which is neither water, nor air but in between. These intermediary levels are used to mix opposite factors such as ethics and sciences, emotion and rationality, dream and reality (Piaget, 1976). In this organizational process, order is related to complexity by the concept of “emergence proprieties” (Atlan, 1979). In emergence proprieties, the relationships between individuals are more important than the individuals alone and the interactions finally create organizational levels which could become independent from the individuals. The emergences proprieties may thus stabilize the behaviors of the individuals and the whole over a certain period of time by creating such intermediary levels. This level plays the role of a “meta level” edicting general rules able to stabilize the agents’ behaviors (Watzlawick, Beavin and Jackson, 1972) or “meso levels” allowing more flexibility within the global system (Atlan, 1979). Meta and meso levels therefore escape from a binary approach which opposes the individualist approach to the holistic approach.

2. Linking system 2 and system 1

In a knowledge economy, individuals and organizations may be happier and more efficient if they accept and use the two parts of their brain: emotion and reason in order to increase their collaboration relationships that are today necessary for increasing qualitative innovations and happiness for each person within all societies. In order to further study the analysis of the actual knowledge economy, it seems interesting to analyze the approaches developed by the authors of the positive psychology (James, 1890, Langer, 1989, Goleman, 2014). All these positive psychologists propose a dynamic way for thinking using reason and emotion. The positive feelings seem powerful engines which increase happiness and efficiency for all individuals. Leaving the systemic approaches, which just give the same weight to all opposite
factors, positive psychology proposes to reverse the relationship between rationality and emotion. Positive feelings make the people more innovative and pro-active in the knowledge economy. “Your life therefore goes well when you feel happy” (Dolan, 2014: 5). In the knowledge economy, being rational -supposing that agents know how to define rationality - is not sufficient. The use of emotion in the decision making is analyzed by all behavioral economists. Daniel Kahneman (2011) determines how agents must think both “slow” (“system 2”, with their rationality) and “fast” (“system 1”, with their emotion).

Psychologists working on well-being and happiness (as Langer, 1989, Seligman, 1998) point out two main characteristics to obtain better economic and social results for individuals and society. First, in developing a positive spirit, individuals are able to work longer, harder, and quicker. Second, individuals drop their “perfectionist behavior” -work hard to be happy later- and adapt an “optimist behavior” -be happy today to have better results tomorrow- (Ben Shahar, 2007: 18).

The use of emotions in the decision making process is also analyzed by researchers in business and management. Collins (2001) and Goffe and Jones (2011) point out the key role of “emotional intelligence” (Goleman, 2011) to analyze the key role of the leadership in the knowledge economy. In the knowledge economy, controlling every thing and avoiding “free rider” behaviors becomes impossible. In this situation, controls may be replaced by “pre-choices” and “pro-action” behaviors for all of the actors. The pre-choice developed by Kahneman (2011) and the “nudges” analyzed by Thaler and Sunstein (2007) may be used by all the policy makers for helping people make good decisions. For Garvin and Roberto (2013) for instance, the decision making is becoming a process where leaders in private organizations have to be sure that everybody in the organization will have a real interest to apply the global firm’s strategies. And in doing in such, the leader does not have to control all the employees working within the company. This new kind of leadership leaves each employee autonomous and gives good incentives without constraining employees. In private companies as well in the national economy, the Competitive Intelligence Approaches (Baumard and Harbulot, 1999, Massé and Thibault, 2000) are looking to formalize the complete information cycle process in such a way that individuals and private companies would be able to adjust to the complexity of the world knowledge economy and to pro-act in this moving world. The competitive Intelligence approach is therefore a new way of thinking about the complexity of the world and the new way to act (with pro-action behaviors) in this evolving world (Levet, 2001).

II. HOW DESIGNING LONG RUN HAPPINESS AND COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES?

In the world knowledge economy, which involves an inclusive growth process, it is important to analyze: a long run trajectory of specialization able to involve a “Happiness Advantage for individuals” studied by Achor (2010) and the “competitive advantage for organizations” analyzed by Porter (1990). In this long term analysis, the inclusive growth focuses on production views: how increasing production in responding to the demand of all the consumers. For that reason, the use of dynamic diamonds allows relying supply to demand and cooperation to competition relationships. To define an inclusive growth for everybody in long run, it is important to rethink the direct and indirect linkages between the microeconomics and macro-economic determinants. The microeconomic dimension captures the strategies of each agent to reach better happiness and income through innovation process and in mobilizing system 1 and system 2 to do it. The macro dimension refers to the institutional environment in terms of internal organization, international openness, cooperation, and competition.

1. Long run “Happiness Advantage” for individuals

In a knowledge economy, the question is how the individuals mobilize different factors in order to increase happiness through inducing efficient cooperation among individuals, as it is impossible to “order” individuals to cooperate with one another. Understanding the
interrelations between opposing feelings such as being closed and being open are important for encouraging people to form cooperation relationships. In building the “happiness advantage”, Achor (2010) and other psychologists (Langer, 1989, Ben Sahar, 2010, Seligman, 2011, Goleman, 2011) use the concepts carried over from the complexity approach. The feedback effects are important to create the “emergence proprieties” described by Atlan (1979).

In the “happiness diamond” (Figure 2), four factors allows to individuals to increase their long run happiness: positive feelings, being open, trust others and self-trust.

**Figure 2: Long run Happiness Advantage Diamond for Individuals**

BELIEVE IN YOU:
- Awareness and accept to get down
- Change your mind to increase your Efficiency

BELIEVE IN FRIENDS:
- Social relationships create endogenous strengths
- Trust your friends and ask them help

For the development of positive feeling, each agent has to be able to change his mind and learn to think positively. For example thinking about their actions in the long run (projects, inventions, way of life...), having positive feelings induces the three components of happiness\(^1\): “pleasure”, “meaning” and “engagement” analyzing Martin Seligman (2011) in his concept of “full feeling close to Aristotle’s concept of “Eudaimonia”’. For Paul Dolan, “happiness is experiences of pleasure and purpose over time” (Dolan, 2014: 3). This definition of happiness induces a two by two model which mixes feelings (positive or negative) and purpose (with or without motivation). This analysis helps us to understand the power of positive feelings (such as joy or excitement) and the power of the motivation (that can also be associated with negative feelings such as anxiety or anger). The feedback between feelings and motivation has the power to transform our weaknesses into strengths and threats into opportunities. The knowledge economy process involves the emergence of a new paradigm concerning the scientific process: it is happiness which creates success\(^3\). A lot of experiments carried out in psychology show that “thinking positively” makes us more intelligent, more motivated and more powerful (Kahneman, 2011, Langer, 1979). The second factor of the happiness diamond is to “think out of the box”. In being “open” to innovations, individuals can avoid what Shawn Achor calls the “Tetris effect”. The Tetris effect is like an addictive video game which creates repeat cognitive pictures in our brain. People, who are video game addicts, are therefore not capable of thinking differently from usual. The third required factor to reach happiness is that individuals have to be able to think for themselves. In order to innovate, each person has to believe in his own influence. It is the famous “lever effect” described by Archimedes: “Give me a place to stand and with a lever I will move the
whole world”⁴. In everyday life, the “place to stand” could be represented by our capacity and our knowledge to improve each day. The “lever” could be represented by the mindset that we have when we want to change the world. Changing our mind and deciding to have positive thoughts and feelings could induce the success of our actions⁵. The last factor of the happiness process is to develop confidence in others: Shawn Achor describes in his book a fireman’s exercise he carried out when he was young and where confidence in others was crucial. Every time, we face difficult situations, the panic feeling is the worse solution because it overwhelms us and we forget to trust others. For most psychologists (Kahneman, 2011, Langer, 1979, Seligman, 1998), social relationships represent a powerful investment required in order to build a real competitive advantage. When you are supported, it is easier to manage adversity and transform it into opportunity for personal development. All together, these four psychological factors: positive feelings, openness, self-confidence, and confidence in others interlink and connect individuals to the others by creating sustainable happiness advantages for all.

2. Long run Competitive Advantage for organizations

In a knowledge economy, the individuals who seek happiness also have a better efficiency when they work within productive networks. The creations of collective networks within organizations allow the building of sustainable competitive advantages for organizations and countries (Figure 3).

![Figure 3: Long Run Competitive Advantage for Organizations](image)

The results of psychologists’ research concerning happiness (Goleman, 2014, Langer, 1979) may be used to reach sustainable competitive advantages created by Porter in 1990. The building of happiness advantages seems thus important for the motivation of the agents for building cooperation networks. In this analysis, the social factor (happiness advantage) induces the economic factors (competitive advantage). It is therefore possible to build new relations between supply and demand factors, and between cooperation and competition behaviors, capable of inducing a rising social and economic efficiency. The rising efficiency is obtained by combining cooperation and competition relationships to innovate on the whole share value chain (Drucker, 2011, Foray and Lundvall, 1996, Porter, 1990, Kotter, 2011). Cooperation and competition networks may generate rising internal scale economies for each agent and rising external scale economies for the society as a whole. In the sustainable
competitive advantages, all the agents reach win-win situations by building networks in proposing their own specific supply factors (labor or capital but also explicit and tacit knowledge..) in accord to the specific demand they want reach (high quality goods, better quality-price goods, low price goods). Finally, they reach different kinds of innovations: radical innovations, market innovations or frugal innovations\(^6\). Porter’s analysis, considers that it is more important to be able to make an innovation that is well adapted to the consumers’ needs, on the market.

**III. HOW ACTING IN THE SHORT RUN BY USING EMOTIONAL AND COMPETITIVE INTELLIGENCE?**

As there is no linear evolution toward the long run sustainable advantages, the time process of a knowledge economy has to be studied in short run to think the action of individuals and organizations. Each agent has thus to build in short run his strategy in pro-acting into his environment. In the short run the comparisons to others dominate (Simon, 1955, Watzlawick, Beavin and Jackson, 1972). Strategic relationships remain therefore determinant in the short run. Organizations constantly have to face constraints of time, space, technological dependency. They also face the constraints of their competitors who have already innovated on the same type of product. The new kind of short run strategies, induced by the knowledge economy, involves a more network organization mixing happiness of individuals and efficiency of organizations. In a moving and uncertain world, one of the most difficult things for individuals and organizations is to begin acting, “to put their shoes on”, as William James, the famous psychologist of Harvard used to say.

1. Using Emotional intelligence to be happier

For all the individuals, using emotional intelligence induces greater happiness, as analyzed by Goleman, 2011. To reach a greater efficiency in an individuals’ action, the problem is that each individual must know how to begin their action. The researches of psychologists (Ben-Sahar, 2007, Langer, 1989) show that it is impossible for people to be courageous and efficient all their life. Therefore, habits and routines seem much more powerful than motivation, when spurring action. The action triangle, proposed in **Figure 4**, summarizes the three main actions that individuals should undertake in order to begin to act positively.

**Figure 4: The Emotional Intelligence leads individuals happier**

![Figure 4: The Emotional Intelligence leads individuals happier](image)

The first factor is to create new habits. William James (1890) analyzes all the actions that people carry out every day: brush their teeth; put the alarm clock on … These actions do not require effort as they form part of a daily routine. Shawn Achor (2010) and Paul Dolan (2014) enlarge this analysis to other topics which also induce collective consequences. For example, most people agree to never drive when they feel that they have drunk too much alcohol. However it is difficult to know if people are able to drive after one or two glasses. Therefore,
the authors suggest that one should decide not to drive when one has drunk any alcohol. With this type of action, people don’t have to ask themselves the question: “Am I OK to drive?” This “pre-choice” is very useful as it helps individuals become more efficient as they don’t have to ask themselves the question each time they drink alcohol. They can also choose the lazy option which is easier to carry out. Following a similar approach, Richard Thaler and Cass Sunstein (2007) and Daniel Kahneman (2011) show the role of the “nudge” used to make these kinds of pre-choices. “Nudges” are specific habits which help people make the right decision, as for example encouraging people to engage in a sport to avoid stress and health problems. The analysis of a nudge is interesting because it can be applied at all levels of decision making (individuals, networks, State). The idea of a nudge for decision making could be applied for establishing new public policy at a macro level. For example, changing the law concerning organ donation, where consent is presumed unless an individual has registered a prior refusal, as in Wales, UK from 1st December 2015. This new law could enable more lives to be saved without any constraint or action from individuals. The second factor for spurring action is to “walk one step at a time”. Shawn Achor makes reference to the famous hero of Zorro in his book “How to become a contagious optimist”. He uses the example of Zorro to demonstrate the transformation from someone who is afraid and lacking self-confidence to the story’s hero. Before leaving one’s comfort circle, people need to learn to control emotion, to know their capabilities, to trust that their capabilities will enable them to reach their objectives. This kind of analysis is very close to the analysis of Emotional Intelligence developed by Daniel Goleman in 2014. In the two analyses, individuals have to concentrate their efforts on limited objectives that they know they are able to attain. Shawn Achor uses an interesting example of an ill old woman, living in a retirement home, who increases her health and her moral by taking care of a house plant. Tal Ben-Sahar (2007) also suggests that people need to switch from a “perfectionist behavior” to an “optimist behavior”. The third and last factor required, in order to efficiently act, is to know how to take risks and how to be able to accept failure. Individuals could accept failure in two cases. First, by considering that they failed because of the external competition and that they thus compare their action with those of other people. Second, the individuals consider that they are to face an internal competition and they, in this case, compare their present performance to the expected performances they thought able to reach. Psychologists remind us that most famous people succeed because they failed in the past: for example Edison who tried several times before succeeding (Collins, 2011). If we accept failure and are willing to try again, we will enter into a dynamic process which transforms weaknesses into strengths and threats into opportunities.

2) Using Competitive Intelligence to be more efficient together:
Within organizations, acting in short run involves to practice competitiveness. With time, the competitiveness switches from the price and quality competitiveness to information competitiveness which is based on the Competitive Intelligence approach (Baulant, 2015). In competitive intelligence, which develops since 1990, building networks is important to innovate and sell in a global economy. In a knowledge economy, everybody may produce efficient innovations because of the role rising role of frugal innovations. It is thus important to pro-act in the short run in the world market and not only to adapt their goods to world market. The competitive intelligence involves the management of the whole information cycle. Hence, the Competitive Intelligence approaches (Ansoff, 1975, Wilinski, 1967), beginning during the sixties, have come about a second time during the nineties because information and knowledge are now determinant for new production and the consumption processes. Because information and knowledge are public goods, all the actors within organizations have to cooperate by using networks to benefit from the rising external scale economies. The aim of the Competitive Intelligence methodologies (Baumard and Harbulot 1997, Bloch, 1999, Jakobiak, 2004) is to increase the information competitiveness of agents by transforming information into knowledge and then, by transforming new knowledge into useful information. The management of this information cycle allows organizations and
countries to act quicker and with greater depth on the world markets. By using competitive intelligence acting on the world markets, the organizations build different kinds of networks (Figure 5).

**Figure 5: The Competitive Intelligence leads organizations more efficient**

![Diagram showing Competitive Intelligence as a central node with arrows leading to Protection, Networks, and Influence]
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In order to sell goods on world markets, the first step for organizations is to build different networks founded on cooperation process. These networks are today crucial to induce useful learning processes between all agents within a community of practice or a private company. With the I.C.T. Revolution, Internet networks become more important for co-acting in a knowledge economy (as the social networks for example). The second step is to develop positive lobbying. With Internet, positive influence may be as powerful as negative influence. In positive lobbying, agents pro-act fair information which allows a rising preservation of the planet and a rising well-being for people around the world. In negative lobbying, agents pro-act information which allows them to have increased power in economic, political, social spheres. The third step in the competitive intelligence acting is to build institutional networks capable of protecting long run innovations and internal organizations within the world economy. Institutional networks help to establish new kinds of action that aims to increase the economic and social efficiency of all agents. For example, they help agents find financing for their individual invention, in using the crowd-funding systems on social networks. The institutional networks may also be useful for small private companies to protect their immaterial knowledge, by helping them to write patents in international institutions. Finally, institutional networks may help consumers or producers associations by using the international laws to defend their rights. All these institutional networks (regional organizations, Non Governmental organizations …) help individuals, companies, and countries to efficiency act and protect their knowledge.

**Conclusion**

Globalization and the knowledge economy lead to an increasingly complex world. In such a world, the first result of the study shows the importance of the complexity approaches which link opposite factors (reason and emotion) and different research fields (economics and psychology). The pluralistic approaches allow individuals to improve their reasoning and their action in a knowledge economy. The analyses of the interrelations between contradictory factors allow the preservation of the diversity of all points of view. More precisely, understanding the interrelations between cooperative and conflict relationships induces the building of organizational networks that are capable of being flexible enough to invent new solutions and fixed enough to stabilize the behaviors of agents in an uncertain world.

The second result shows how the willingness of each agent is important, for the cooperation with others for acting in an inclusive economy and reconciling economic and social
efficiency. On this point, the time horizon plays a determinant role into the cooperation process. In the long run, individuals and organizations have to think by themselves for creating innovations founded on their own diversity (feelings, way of thinking, confidence in themselves and others). Results of researches in positive psychology concerning the sustainable “happiness advantage” philosophy may be extremely useful for the economists for designing sustainable “competitive advantages” for organizations. Dynamic feedbacks between supply and demand and competition and cooperation factors induce rising economic and social efficiencies that generate more happiness for individuals and more innovations for the organizations. By inter-linking opposite factors, the study shows that everyone can invent his own sustainable competitive advantage. However, even if these long run strategies induce higher efficiencies, the individuals live in a tangible reality, where things can sometimes go quickly and sometime slowly. In the short run, each individual has to become pro-active and not only adaptive or anticipative. The acting process is founded on the confrontation of the agents with others. Agents have to act with the reality of competition and dependencies. Acting together is difficult because the “invisible hand” of the market often fails to reach higher efficiency. It is therefore crucial to study how each individual may increase life satisfaction. In the short run, individuals have to adopt new good habits, work step by step, and ask for help from others. Each individual may become happier if he considers his diversity as a strength rather than a weakness. Individuals who succeed are often those who have accepted failure in the past and have sought help from others (Abraham Lincoln, Thomas Edison, Michael Jordan…). Following the Emotional Intelligence process defined by Goleman (2011), when people put human feelings in the center of strategies, the short run acting becomes more efficient. The study argues that the people who manage their individual life with happiness will also be able to manage their collective acting in a world economy more efficiently. In the knowledge economy, people have to be more autonomous and also more linked to others. With the ICT revolution, the Competitive Intelligence process allows the transformation of raw information into useful information for acting with efficiency. Firstly, building this virtuous information cycle by using networks stimulates different kinds of learning. Secondly, agents also have to practice positive influence within and outside their networks when they want to diffuse their knowledge and products around the world. Thirdly, agents have to trust the institutions which protect their innovation in order to pursue their ideas and obtain rising efficiencies.

The last result of this study concerns the link that exists between individual happiness and the collective efficiency which may be used to solve a lot of tangible economic and social problems: environment problems, rising inequalities, and unhappiness. In each case, working together involves happiness and efficiency for individuals, organizations, and countries. For all of these agents, thinking differently and sharing with others allows the building of organizational networks where the whole is more than just the sum of the parts. The famous Newton’s sentence in the XVIIIth century remains therefore cutting edge: we are “dwarfs mounted on the shoulders of giants”.

Notes
(1) Most of the authors prefer to talk about “life satisfaction” rather than “subjective well-being” or “happiness”. Subjective well-being is different from one individual to another individual and it is difficult to define happiness out the philosophical field. A famous paper of Kahneman and Deaton (2010) demonstrates that the increase of GDP per head induces an increase of life satisfaction and not necessary in subjective well being.
(2) The rationality studies are more complex than they seem. Simons (1955) distinguishes the “procedural rationality” from the “bounded rationality”. The last one seems to be well adapted to the situation of radical uncertainty. The agents just adopt the first best solution they meet.
(3) The knowledge economy and the ICT Revolution involve a “new paradigm”, in the sense of Thomas E. Kühn (1989), which could be compared today to the “Copernicus Revolution” (1542), where Copernicus discovered that the earth goes round the sun.
(4) Archimedes: “Give me a place to stand and with a lever I will move the whole world” in Chilaides 2, p 129-130 (translated by Francis R. Walton).
(5) For the same reason, it is so difficult to change bad habits because people do them without effort and without thinking of the consequence (free rider behaviors for example). Most psychologists (William, Schwartz, Gardner, Langer, Seligman, Gilbert, Goleman) recommend that we should create new small habits. The authors of the behaviorist approach of economy (Kahneman, Dolan, Thaler, and Sunstein) and the authors in management and business administration (Porter, Drucker, Davenport, Kotter, Ancona, and Garvin, Roberto) also take into account the important role of habits for making good decisions.

(6) The competitive advantage approach is far removed from the “non price advantage theory” of Helpman and Krugman (1985), where the success of the firm depends on its size.

(7) Even if Competitive Intelligence processes are not new (Wilensky 1967, Ansoff, 1975), the Competitive Intelligence practices sharply increased from 1990, with the end of the “cold war”.
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