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We consider the propagation of Gaussian spatiotemporal wave packets in arrays of parallel optical waveguides, assuming linear and nondispersing coupling between the adjacent guides. The numerical analysis is based on a discrete version of the modified Korteweg–de Vries equation that adequately describes the propagation of ultrashort (few-cycle) spatiotemporal solitons in waveguide arrays. Two kinds of such discrete-continuous localized wave forms, which are discrete solitons in the transverse direction, and few-cycle solitons in the longitudinal one, are put forward, namely breathing solitons and single-humped ones. The conditions of formation of these localized spatiotemporal structures, their time duration and spatial width, as well as their energies, are also investigated.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.95.043839

I. INTRODUCTION

The key features of nonlinear discrete optical systems have been extensively explored during the past several years, and arrays of evanescently coupled nonlinear waveguides have provided a fertile ground for the study of the interplay between discreteness and nonlinearity. In these specific optical settings the dispersion and diffraction properties of propagating light can be properly controlled and engineered, and many kinds of discrete optical solitons have been studied both theoretically and experimentally; see the extensive reviews [1–3]. Discrete nonlinear dynamical systems were investigated both theoretically and experimentally not only in the optics context, but also in other physical systems involving nonlinear lattices [4,5]. In these physical settings, the interplay of nonlinearity with lattice discreteness leads to unique phenomena that are quite distinct from those occurring in the corresponding continuous nonlinear dynamical systems [4]. It is also worth mentioning the intense work done during the past several years in the study of diverse mathematical models of such discrete solitons in a series of relevant physical settings [6,7]. The many mathematical models deal with the study of the nonintegrable discrete nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation and the integrable Ablowitz-Ladik equation [8,9] and the investigation of other more general evolution equations that interpolate between these two generic nonlinear differential-difference equations; see [4,6,7].

In a pioneering earlier work, Christodoulides and Joseph [10] theoretically investigated the problem of discrete self-focusing in nonlinear arrays of coupled waveguides and the characteristic properties of the corresponding one-dimensional (1D) discrete solitons. One decade after the publication of the theoretical prediction [10], such 1D discrete optical solitons were experimentally observed in 1998 by Eisenberg et al. [11]. Several theoretical works investigated the formation and the robustness to propagation of both 1D and higher-dimensional discrete solitons in a large variety of physical settings [12–22].

The unique features of optical solitons in discrete dissipative structures have been also theoretically investigated. The existence and instability dynamics of both 1D [23] and two-dimensional (2D) [24] discrete Ginzburg-Landau solitons have been explored theoretically and numerically. Also, the motion and stability properties of such dissipative solitons, which form in multiple waveguide structures, were investigated in detail by Soto-Crespo et al. [25]. These kinds of dissipative solitons are described by the discrete complex cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau equation; see Refs. [23–25]. It is worth mentioning that, as a result of discreteness of the underlying dissipative system, the discrete dissipative optical solitons exhibit features that have no counterpart in either continuous or in conservative discrete models; see Ref. [23]. Also, in the framework of the continuous-discrete complex cubic-quintic Ginzburg-Landau model, spatiotemporal dissipative solitons that are confined inside 2D photonic lattices were also theoretically investigated [26].

Discrete vortex solitons have been investigated theoretically by Malomed and Kevrekidis [27], and subsequent works by Leblond et al. [28,29] have studied in detail the existence and stability domains of families of spatiotemporal vortex solitons in either square or hexagonal arrays of evanescently coupled waveguides.

On the experimental arena in this broad area, we mention here the series of experimental works including the observation of discrete spatial optical solitons in optically induced nonlinear photonic lattices [30–32], the observation of both 1D and 2D discrete surface solitons in waveguide arrays [33,34], the observation of three-dimensional (3D) discrete-continuous spatiotemporal solitons inside 2D arrays of coupled waveguides [36], and the observation of vortex light bullets that are discrete spatiotemporal solitons with embedded orbital angular momenta [37].

Several theoretical studies in the area of propagation of ultrashort light pulses in diverse physical settings have used the slowly varying envelope approximation (SVEA) and different kinds of generalizations of the generic NLS equation; see, for example, Refs. [38,39]. However, during the past years, a lot of theoretical works have explored the problem of propagation of few-cycle pulses and solitons beyond the commonly used SVEA. We only mention here the so-called unidirectional pulse propagation model [40,41].
the Maxwell-Duffing description of ultrashort optical pulses in
nonresonant media [42], and the Maxwell-Drude-Bloch model
of few-cycle optical solitons [43]; for recent overviews of the
main theoretical approaches in the area of nonlinear optics
of ultrashort light pulses including few-cycle optical solitons,
see Refs. [44–47]. The theoretical models beyond the SVEA
rely on the modified Korteweg–de Vries (mKdV) [48], the
short-pulse [49–51], the sine-Gordon (sG) [52], the double
sG [53,54], and the mKdV-sG [55–57] equations; see also
Refs. [58–62]. Though most of the above mentioned generic
models are purely 1D ones, studies of multidimensional
ultrashort optical solitons have been performed also; for
example, stable few-cycle spatiotemporal optical solitons can
form in quadratically nonlinear media from few-cycle input
wave packets [63]. For the sake of completeness, we mention
here a few papers that overviewed the intense experimental
and theoretical activity in the area of multidimensional localized
structures in both optical and matter-wave media [64–70].

In a recent work [71], in the framework of a non-SVEA
model that is suitable for describing the propagation of ultra-
short (few-cycle) solitons, the generic equations accounting for
the coupling between two adjacent optical waveguides were
introduced and studied numerically, showing the possibility of
soliton propagation in this setup [72]. The analysis was based
on the generalized Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, and from
this equation a set of two coupled mKdV equations was derived
[71].

In the present work we introduce and study in detail a
discrete version of the mKdV equation. We investigate the
formation of two distinct types of solitary waves from input
Gaussian spatiotemporal wave packets. We also describe the
characteristic features of discrete-continuous spatiotemporal
solitons, which form in coupled waveguide arrays and are
localized in both space and time.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II
we present the generic model describing the propagation
of few-cycle spatiotemporal optical solitons in waveguide
arrays, which is based on the discrete version of coupled
mKdV equations, and we explore in this physical setting the
self-focusing effect vs the combined dispersion and diffraction
effects. The detailed study of families of few-cycle discrete-
continuous spatiotemporal optical solitons is given in Sec. III.
Finally, Sec. IV concludes this paper.

II. SELF-FOCUSBING EFFECT VERSUS THE COMBINED
DISPERSION AND DIFFRACTION EFFECTS IN ARRAYS
OF COUPLED WAVEGUIDES

We consider a set of $2N+1$ parallel waveguides in a
planar geometry, assuming a purely linear and non-dispersive
coupling. The normalized optical electric field $u_n$ propagating
in the $n$th waveguide satisfies the following discrete version of
the mKdV equation [71]:

$$
\partial_t u_n = -a \partial_x (u_n^3) - b \partial_x^3 u_n - c \partial_x (u_{n-1} + u_{n+1}),
$$

which holds for $-N \leq n \leq N$ (with the convention that $u_{-N-1}$
and $u_{N+1}$ are replaced with zero).

In (1), the dimensionless variables are defined as $z = \zeta / L,$
$t = (\tau - \zeta / V_0)/\tau_w$, and $u_n = E_n/E_0$, where $\zeta$ and $\tau$ are
the time and space variables, and $E_n$ is the electric field. The
quantities $L$, $\tau_w$, and $E_0$ are reference propagation length,
reference time, and reference electric field, respectively. The
velocity $V_0 = c_0/n_0$ is the ratio of the light velocity $c_0$
in vacuum to the linear refractive index $n_0$ at the low-frequency
limit.

The normalized nonlinear coefficient is

$$
a = \frac{\chi^{(3)}}{2n_0c_0} \frac{L E_0^2}{\tau_w},
$$

where $\chi^{(3)}$ is the third-order susceptibility at the low frequency
limit. The normalized dispersion parameter is

$$
b = \frac{(-n'' n)}{2c_0} \tau_w,
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $\omega$. The
coupling coefficient is

$$
c = \frac{I_2 + L \Delta n}{1 + I_1 c_0 \tau_w},
$$

where $\Delta n$ is the refractive index shift between the core and
cladding of each guide, $I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1 f_2 dx$ is the overlapping
integral of the normal modes $f_1$ and $f_2$ of two adjacent
waveguides over the entire real axis, and $I_2 = \int f_1 \int f_2 dx =
\int f_1 f_2 dx$ is the overlapping integral of the same field profiles,
over the core of one waveguide only.

Setting

$$
\tau_w^2 = \frac{-(n'' n (1 + I_1))}{2 \Delta n I_2},
$$

$$
L = c_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 - n'' n}{2 (I_2 \Delta n)^{3/2}}},
$$

$$
E_0^2 = \frac{I_2 + 2n_0 \Delta n}{1 + I_1 c_0 \tau_w \chi^{(3)}},
$$

yields $a = b = c = 1$. Hence we can restrict to this situation
without loss of generality. Since the overlapping integrals $I_1$
and $I_2$ are real and positive, and waveguiding requires $\Delta n > 0$,
Eqs. (5)–(7) require $n'' n < 0$ and $\chi^{(3)} > 0$, which are the
well-known necessary conditions for spatiotemporal soliton
formation.

However, both the overlapping integrals $I_1$ and $I_2$
and $\Delta n$ can be adjusted in a wide range of values. Hence, to fix
the ideas, we can set $\tau_w = 1$ fs and assume that $I_1$, $I_2$, and $\Delta n$
have been adjusted in such a way that $c = 1$. Then, setting

$$
L = \frac{2c_0 \tau_w^3}{-n''},
$$

and

$$
E_0^2 = \frac{-n_0 n''}{\chi^{(3)} \tau_w^2},
$$

reduces $a$ and $b$ to 1. Using numerical values and a Sellmeier
formula pertaining to silica glass at $\lambda = 1.064 \mu m$, $n_0 = 1.450$,
$n'' = -0.0053$ fs$^2$, and $n_2 = 0.21 \times 10^{-19}$ m$^2$/W [73] [recall
that the nonlinear index $n_2$ is related to the third order
susceptibility according to $n_2 = 3 \chi^{(3)} / (4n_0^2 c_0 \epsilon_0)$] then
the reference propagation length is $L = 113$ μm, and the reference
electric field is $E_0 = 7 \times 10^9$ V/m, corresponding to a
reference intensity $I_0 = \frac{1}{2}\eta_0 c_0 c_0 E_0^2 = 9500 \text{ GW/cm}^2$. Using parameters pertaining to a highly nonlinear glass, such as the chalcogenide glass GeSe$_4$, still at $\lambda = 1.064 \mu\text{m}$, i.e., $n = 2.51$, $n^2 = -0.021 \text{ fs}^2$ [74], and $n_2 = 13 \times 10^{-18} \text{m}^2/\text{W}$ [75], we obtain $L = 29 \mu\text{m}$, $E_0 = 4.3 \times 10^6 \text{ V/m}$, and $I_0 = 61 \text{ GW/cm}^2$. Obviously, the material used in an experimental setup must also satisfy other requirements, especially in terms of low absorption, large bandwidth, and ability to support very high optical intensities.

It is straightforwardly proved that the system of coupled mKdV-type equations (1) conserves the quantity

$$E = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_n^2 dt,$$

in the sense that $\partial_t E = 0$. $E$ is proportional to the optical intensity integrated over space and time, and hence we will refer to as the pulse energy below. Equation (1) derives from the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \mathcal{L}_n + \mathcal{L}_I,$$

where the Lagrangian density corresponding to channel $n$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \varphi_n \partial_t \varphi_n + \frac{a}{4} \left( \partial_t \varphi_n \right)^4 - \frac{b}{2} \left( \partial_t^2 \varphi_n \right)^2,$$

where

$$u_n = \partial_t \varphi_n,$$

and the interaction between channels is taken into account by

$$\mathcal{L}_I = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{n'=n+1}^{N} \partial_t \varphi_n \partial_t \varphi_{n'}.$$  

Equation (1) also conserves the Hamiltonian $H = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H} dt$, where the Hamiltonian density is defined by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \mathcal{H}_n + \mathcal{H}_I,$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \frac{a}{4} u_n^4 + \frac{b}{2} u_n \partial_t^2 u_n$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_I = \mathcal{L}_I = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} |u_n u_{n+1}|.$$  

We solve the system of coupled mKdV-type equations (1) using a standard fourth-order Runge-Kutta numerical scheme with respect to the evolution variable $z$ in the Fourier space. Computation of the nonlinear term involves one inverse and one direct fast Fourier transform at each substep of the numerical scheme. We use normalized values of the parameters $a = b = c = 1$ and periodic boundary conditions in both $n$ and $t$ directions.

We solve Eqs. (1) with the initial spatiotemporal Gaussian wave packet

$$u_n(z = 0, t) = A_0 \sin(\omega t + \varphi) \exp \left(-\frac{n^2}{w_0^2} - \frac{t^2}{\tau^2}\right).$$

FIG. 1. Formation of solitons from a Gaussian pulse. (a) Input. (b) For a high amplitude, a soliton forms (amplitude $A_0 = 2.06$, pulse duration $f \text{whm} = 3.5$, and propagation distance $z = 288$). (c) For a relatively low amplitude, the pulse is spread out by diffraction and dispersion (the input is the same as in (a) above, with same duration but with amplitude $A_0 = 0.2$. Propagation distance $z = 0.72$).
so that the input light is mainly launched in the central guide, \( n = 0 \); see Fig. 1(a). We fix the initial envelope-carrier phase as \( \varphi = 0 \) and the initial pulse width as \( w_0 = 1 \). The angular frequency is \( \omega = 2\pi V/\lambda \), with \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( V = 0.3 \), so that, if the reference time is set to \( \tau_w = 1 \) fs, it corresponds to a wavelength in vacuum of \( 1 \) \( \mu \)m. The initial pulse duration \( \tau \) is related to its full width at half maximum \( fwhm \) in a standard way, as \( fwhm = \sqrt{2 \ln 2} \tau \).

Then we vary the amplitude \( A_0 \) and the initial pulse duration \( \tau \), and compute the evolution of the spatiotemporal wave packet. If both input amplitude \( A_0 \) and input duration \( \tau \) are high enough, the light remains localized in both space and time; see Fig. 1(b). However, for relatively low input amplitudes, the combined effects of diffraction and dispersion spread out the wave packet, though it was kept a relatively high value of pulse duration \( \tau \); see Fig. 1(c).

Since the soliton forms at a high input amplitude, the question arises, does this happen above some energy threshold. The limit of the domain where the solitons either form or not from Gaussian inputs is shown in Fig. 2, in the amplitude-pulse duration plane \( (A_0, \text{pulse duration } fwhm) \), and in Fig. 3, in the amplitude-energy plane (each symbol corresponds to a numerical calculation. In the white domain, no calculation was performed). The energy \( E \) is defined by Eq. (10) above. It is seen from Fig. 3 that the energy threshold for the formation of such few-cycle discrete optical solitons is about 10; however, the threshold is not independent of the input amplitude. There is some “optimum” input amplitude for which the solitons form with less energy. This optimum amplitude lies between 2.2 and 2.4; see Fig. 3.

An analogous analysis is performed against transverse width \( w_0 \), as shown on Figs. 4 and 5. The amplitude is fixed here to \( A_0 = 2.22 \).

It is also seen that the formation of a soliton requires a large enough input energy. However, the energy required strongly increases with \( w_0 \), and cannot be interpreted as a threshold any more, even in a rough way. This can be explained by the fact that the solitons that are formed with high values of \( w_0 \) do not have a larger width than the solitons formed with \( w_0 = 1 \), but are exactly the same structures. As a consequence, for large values of \( w_0 \), the shape of the input is badly matched to the final soliton, the energy is less efficiently converted into a soliton, and more total energy is required. It may even happen, for large values of \( w_0 \), that two solitons are formed instead of only one, both remaining located in the central channel \( n = 0 \).

### III. FAMILIES OF FEW-CYCLE DISCRETE-CONTINUOUS SPATIOTEMPORAL OPTICAL SOLITONS

We studied in the previous section the conditions under which input Gaussian spatiotemporal wave packets turn into ultrashort discrete solitons. In this section we study in detail the characteristic features of these few-cycle spatiotemporal...
optical solitons that form in waveguide arrays. The solitons are the stationary states of the nonlinear system (1); however, they are not constant, but oscillating, constituting the so-called 
\textit{breather solitons}. Hence they cannot be computed directly by reducing the nonlinear coupled system of equations (1) to a set of ordinary differential equations. We run the propagation code until the soliton and the dispersive waves (or radiation) are well separated, then we replace the field at some distance from the soliton center with zero to remove the dispersive waves, and we repeat the operation until the amount of dispersive waves is low enough that the total energy of the optical field can be considered as being the energy of the emerging soliton, with an acceptable accuracy (in practice, we stop the procedure as the amplitude of the dispersive waves far from the pulse goes below $10^{-3}$).

We find that two kinds of discrete spatiotemporal solitons exist when computing them by using the above described numerical procedure: the breathing soliton, as expected when dealing with mKdV-type equations, but also the single-humped soliton, which is mainly the fundamental soliton of the mKdV equation, if we “forget” the transverse dimension.

The single-humped soliton is shown in Fig. 6. It is localized in both space and time and forms spontaneously from Gaussian spatiotemporal inputs after long enough propagation distance. The single-humped solitons can be either positive or negative, depending on the value of the initial phase. The breathing soliton is shown in Fig. 7; it is also localized in space and time, but it is an oscillating wave packet. The main characteristics of solitons, that is, their amplitude, energy, and duration, are recorded for a large number of numerical trials. The energy $E$ is plotted against the maximum amplitude $\max_{n,t}(|u_n|)$ in Fig. 8. It is seen from Fig. 8 that the energy of breathers is higher than the energy of fundamental solitons for the same maximum amplitude.

The soliton duration is computed using the standard deviation $\sigma = \sqrt{\langle t^2 \rangle - \langle t \rangle^2}$, where the mean value $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is defined by $\langle f(t) \rangle = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) u^4 dt}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^4 dt}$.

(19) (The usual definition, which involves a power 2 instead of 4, leads to erroneous results, since it lends too much weight to the noisy background.) We consider only the central component $n = 0$ that carries most of the energy, since the accuracy of the extraction of the pulse duration from numerical data strongly decreases with the signal-to-noise ratio. In order that the definition of soliton duration $\tau$ coincides with the half-width at $1/e$ in the case where the pulse profile is of the hyperbolic

![Fig. 6](image1.png)  
**FIG. 6.** Fundamental soliton with amplitude $\max_{n,t}(|u_n|) = 2.5667$. (a) The optical field in the $(n,t)$ plane. (b) The temporal profile of the optical field.

![Fig. 7](image2.png)  
**FIG. 7.** Breathing soliton with amplitude $\max_{n,t}(|u_n|) = 3.1801$. (a) The optical field in the $(n,t)$ plane. (b) The temporal profile of the optical breather.
secant type, we define it as
\[
\tau = 2\sigma \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi^2 - 6}}.
\] (20)

Then it is seen that the duration \(\tau\) oscillates with \(z\) due to the phase-carrier velocity mismatch. We denote by \(\tau_0\) the average of \(\tau\) over \(z\). Comparison between the actual pulse profile and a hyperbolic secant with width \(\tau\) or \(\tau_0\) shows that only the mean value \(\tau_0\) is relevant.

The duration \(\tau_0\), which is computed this way, is shown in Fig. 9, versus the maximum amplitude \(\text{max}_{z,n}(|u_n|)\) of the solitons. It is seen that the duration slowly decreases as the maximum amplitude increases, and that the duration of the breathing solitons is about twice that of the single-humped ones. Since the duration decreases very slowly as the maximum amplitude increases, it is natural that the corresponding soliton energy then increases.

The spatial width of the soliton is rather small; most of its energy is concentrated in the central guide \(n = 0\). However, some finite amount of energy propagates in the lateral guides. This amount can be evaluated only by considering the amplitude in the few guides closest to the central ones (typically, \(2 \leq n \leq 2\), but in a few cases \(-1 \leq n \leq 1\) only). Otherwise a reasonable accuracy cannot be reached, due to the presence of remaining dispersive waves. The transverse profile can be fitted by an expression of the form \(|u_n| = Ae^{-|n|/W_0}\), by applying a least-squares method to \(\ln(\text{max}_{z,n}(|u_n|))\) for \(0 \leq n \leq 2\) (\(0 \leq n \leq 1\) only for 4 among the 35 computed solitons).

The results are shown in Fig. 10. It is seen that the solitons are indeed narrow, with \(W_0 < 1\), and that the fundamental solitons are appreciably narrower than the breathers with the same maximum amplitude. We also observe from Fig. 10 that the width of the solitons decreases as their maximum amplitude grows; we note that the soliton duration also decreases slowly as the soliton maximum amplitude increases; see Fig. 9.

### IV. CONCLUSION

We have explored the existence and key features of ultra-short spatiotemporal optical solitons propagating in waveguide arrays, which are discrete solitons in the transverse direction, and few-cycle solitons in the longitudinal one. We have shown that such discrete-continuous few-cycle solitons can be of two types: either breathing solitons or single-humped ones. They form starting from an input Gaussian-type wave packet provided that the pulse energy is high enough. However, strictly speaking, an energy threshold does not exist. We also arrived at the conclusion that high energies of such discrete-continuous few-cycle solitons are reached for solitons with the smallest values of their duration; see Figs. 8 and 9.

It was shown in [71] that nonlinear or dispersive coupling between waveguides, although they are negligible for long pulses, may have some appreciable importance in the few-cycle regime. An interesting problem for future research is to investigate how such coupling effects modify the formation and the structure of few-cycle pulse solitons in waveguide arrays.


