Factors affecting return to work after carpal tunnel syndrome surgery in a large French cohort

Elsa Parot-Schinkel, Yves Roquelaure, Catherine Ha, Annette Leclerc, Jean-François Chastang, Guy Raimbeau, Francis Chaise, Alexis Descatha

► To cite this version:

Elsa Parot-Schinkel, Yves Roquelaure, Catherine Ha, Annette Leclerc, Jean-François Chastang, et al.. Factors affecting return to work after carpal tunnel syndrome surgery in a large French cohort. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2011, 92 (11), pp.1863 - 1869. 10.1016/j.apmr.2011.06.001 . hal-03389889

HAL Id: hal-03389889

<https://univ-angers.hal.science/hal-03389889>

Submitted on 21 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Factors Affecting Return to Work After Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Surgery in a Large French Cohort

Elsa Parot-Schinkel, MD, Yves Roquelaure, MD, Catherine Ha, MD, Annette Leclerc, PhD, Jean-François Chastang, PhD, Guy Raimbeau, MD, Francis Chaise, MD and Alexis Descatha, MD

ABSTRACT. Parot-Schinkel E, Roquelaure Y, Ha C, Leclerc A, Chastang J-F, Raimbeau G, Chaise F, Descatha A. Factors affecting return to work after carpal tunnel syndrome surgery in a large French cohort. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011; 92:1863-9.

Objective: To evaluate occupational outcomes after surgical release of the median nerve in carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS).

Design: Retrospective study 12 to 24 months after surgery.

Setting: Hand centers (N=3) in 2 different areas.

Participants: Patients who had undergone surgical release of the median nerve in 2002 to 2003.

Interventions: Not applicable.

Main Outcome Measure. Duration of sick leave after surgery and associated factors were analyzed by using bivariate (log rank) and multivariate analyses of survival (Cox model).

Results: Questionnaires mailed in 2004 regarding medical condition (history and surgery), employment (occupational category codes in 1 digit), and compensation were returned (N=1248; 62%), with 253 men and 682 women stating they were employed at the time of surgery (N=935). Most were working at the time of the study (n=851; 91.0%). Median duration of sick leave before returning to work was 60 days. The main factors associated with adverse occupational outcome (long duration of sick leave) were simultaneous intervention for another upper-extremity musculoskeletal disorder, belief (by the patient) in an occupational cause, and "blue-collar worker" occupational category (the strongest determinant).

Conclusion: This study emphasizes the multifactorial nature of the occupational outcome of CTS after surgery, including occupational category. The probability of return to work for

each risk factor provides a fair description of prognosis for physicians and patients.

Key Words: Carpal tunnel syndrome; Musculoskeletal disorders; Occupational disease; Prognosis; Rehabilitation; Return to work.

© 2011 by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine

CARPAL TUNNEL syndrome (CTS) is the most common peripheral entrapment neuropathy in the upper extremity and a frequent cause of disability.^{1,2} Surgical release or decompression rates in the United States are 250,000 to 500,000 per year compared with 130,000 to 155,000 per year in France.³ Medical outcomes of surgical treatment of CTS have been studied in the last 20 years to evaluate preoperative predictors of prognosis,⁴⁻⁸ such as the potential effects of the surgical techniques.⁹⁻¹¹ Return to work has been studied by using community-based studies and compensation-based studies, mostly in the United States.¹²⁻¹⁸

The aim of the study was to conduct a population-based investigation of surgical cases using hospital databases to define patterns of return to work in a general population and to analyze factors associated with return to work more than 1 year after surgery.

METHODS

A retrospective study involving subjects who had undergone surgery was conducted in 2004 in 2 French areas, the Maine and Loire area in 2002 and 2003 and the Loire-Atlantique area in 2003. The Pays de la Loire region was chosen to develop the French National surveillance system on CTS and other musculoskeletal disorders in 2002 because this region was representative of the French workforce.^{2,3} Subjects were included by using the National Medical Information Systems Program.

The search criteria were "carpal tunnel syndrome release" and "carpal tunnel syndrome ambulatory release" to include all patients aged 20 to 59 years living in these areas who had undergone carpal tunnel surgery. We included patients from only the main hand surgery centers (75% of CTS surgery of the areas, 2002 data from the National Medical Information Systems Program), Centre de la Main (Maine & Loire), Clinique St Léonard (Maine & Loire), Clinique Jeanne d'Arc (Loire-Atlantique). Surgery teams have performed mini-open surgery for many years (under direct vision and minimally invasive technique with division of the transverse carpal ligament through a small and remote incision).¹⁹

Patients with incorrect mail addresses or already included in another study were excluded.

List of Abbreviations

CTS	carpal tunnel syndrome
OCC	occupation category code

From the Laboratory of Ergonomics and Epidemiology in Occupational Health, University of Angers, Angers (Parot-Schinkel, Roquelaure); Department of Occupational Health, French Institute for Public Health Surveillance (InVS), St-Maurice (Ha); INSERM U1018, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, Epidemiology of Occupational and Social Determinants of Health, Villejuif (Leclerc, Chastang, Descatha); Université de Versailles St-Quentin, UMRS 1018 (Leclerc, Chastang, Descatha); the Hand Center, Angers (Raimbeau); Jeanne d'Arc Hospital, Nantes (Chaise); and AP-HP, Poincaré University Hospital, Occupational Health Department, Garches, France (Descatha).

Presented orally to PREMUS 2010, Seventh International Scientific Conference on Prevention of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders, August 29–September 3, 2010, Angers, France.

Supported by the French Institute for Public Health Surveillance, Saint-Maurice, France (grant no. 9/25/2002-5 "réseau expérimental de surveillance des troubles musculo-squelettiques") and the French National Research Agency (ANR-grant no. SEST-06-36).

No commercial party having a direct financial interest in the results of the research supporting this article has or will confer a benefit on the authors or on any organization with which the authors are associated.

Reprints are not available from the author.

Correspondence to Alexis Descatha, MD, INSERM U1018, UVSQ, Unité de pathologie professionnelle U1018, CHU Poincaré, 104 bd Poincaré, 92380 Garches, France, e-mail: alexis.descatha@rpc.aphp.fr.

0003-9993/11/9211-0038\$36.00/0

doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.06.001

Fig 1. Flow diagram.

The final sample was based on responders to the questionnaire who were employed at the time of surgery (fig 1).

A self-administered questionnaire was mailed to each subject in 2004. Information was collected as follows. Medical history: obesity, pregnancy, diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, upper-limb trauma (eg, fracture), rheumatoid arthritis, and any other upper-limb musculoskeletal disorder; surgery: uni- or bilateral release, associated surgery (at the same time), and satisfaction with results; more than a 2-year interval between symptoms and surgery also was recorded; employment and job: the last job was coded according to the French classification of occupations (occupation category codes [OCC], 1 digit), over 15 years in the same job; and outcome: return to work and duration of sick leave, and modification of work task.

Information regarding sick leave payment under the workers' compensation system and the subjective imputation of causality by the patient also were requested (missing data were coded as no sickness pay under the workers' compensation system and no imputation of causality to work, respectively).

The main outcome was duration of sick leave after surgery, with the patient as the unit (not hand). Duration included the day of surgery to the end of sick leave, when the patient returned to any work (with or without restriction). In view of the retrospective design, the main occupational factor was the OCC. When patients had not recovered at the time of the questionnaire, they were considered censored and duration of sick leave was the time between surgery and answering the questionnaire.

After comparing responders and nonresponders to the questionnaire, the sample was analyzed. Subjects who returned to

any work in 2004 were compared with those who did not (secondary outcome) by using bivariate and multivariate analyses (logistic model). Probability of return to work based on duration of sick leave was estimated by using the Kaplan-Meier estimator. Bivariate analyses using the log-rank test and multivariate analyses using the Cox model were performed including all relevant items. Pregnancy was not included in multivariate analyses. Stratification for sex and area was performed to check that results were valid for men and women and for the 2 geographic areas included in the study.

SAS, version 9.1,^a was used for all statistical analyses. Associations were considered significant for $P < .05$.

RESULTS

Of 2025 subjects included, 1248 responded to the questionnaire (61.6%; see fig 1).

Sex ratio and age were significantly different between responders and nonresponders in the Maine and Loire area (women/men, 3.1 vs 1.7; $P < .001$; age, 47 ± 8 vs 46 ± 9 y; $P = .033$, respectively). No difference was observed in the Loire-Atlantique area (sex ratio, 3.0 vs 3.7 women/men; age, 46 ± 9 vs 46 ± 8 y, respectively).

Of 1248 subjects in the responding groups, 935 were employed at the time of surgery and included in the final sample. Most were working at the time of the study ($n = 851$; 91.0%). Various factors were associated with the lack of return to work, including bilateral release, associated surgery, other musculoskeletal disorders, OCC, and dissatisfaction with surgery, whereas sickness payment under the workers' compensation system seemed protective (table 1). Stratified analyses indi-

Table 1: Characteristics of Subjects Who Did Not Return to Work and Comparison With Subjects Who Returned to Work

Variable	Category	Total N	Subjects Who Returned to Work			Logistic Model* (reference = return to work)		
			n	%	P [†]	aOR	95% CI	P
Sex	Women	682	624	91.5	NS	1		NS
	Men	253	227	89.7		0.95	0.47–1.89	
Area	Loire Atlantique	332	303	91.3	NS	1		NS
	Maine et Loire	603	548	90.9		1.07	0.95–1.22	
Age >50y	No	596	555	93.1	.005	1		.02
	Yes	336	294	87.5		1.94	1.10–3.43	
Bilateral release	No	852	784	92	.005	1		.003
	Yes	81	65	80.3		3.46	1.51–7.93	
Associated surgery	No	733	677	92.4	.02	1		NS
	Yes	177	153	86.4		1.47	0.78–2.80	
Obesity [‡]	Missing data	25	21	84	.02			NS
	No	771	711	92.2		1		
	Yes	145	123	84.8		1.88	0.95–3.73	
	Missing data	19	17	89.5				
Pregnancy	No	599	548	91.5	NS	NI		NI
	Yes	51	49	96.1				
Diabetes mellitus	Missing data + men	285	254	89.1	NS			NI
	No	887	811	91.4		NI		
	Yes	40	34	85				
	Missing data	8	6	75				
Thyroid disease	No	847	772	91.2	NS	NI		NI
	Yes	79	73	92.4				
	Missing data	9	6	66.7	NS			NI
	No	899	819	91.1		NI		
Upper-limb trauma (fracture)	Yes	36	32	88.9	NS			NI
	No	922	840	91.1		NI		
Rheumatoid arthritis	Yes	13	11	84.6	NS			NI
	No	631	581	92.1		NI		
Any personal risk factor for CTS [§]	At least 1	304	270	88.8	NS			NI
	No	462	437	94.6		1		
Other musculoskeletal disorders	Yes	463	407	87.9	.0001	2.18	1.21–3.95	.01
	Missing data	10	7	70				
OCC	Farmers	31	30	96.8	.05	-		.004
	Self-employed	28	27	96.4		1		
	Managers, executives	42	42	100		-		
	Intermediates	125	117	93.6		3.77	0.71–20.01	
	Lower white-collar workers	353	319	90.4		4.57	0.98–21.39	
	Blue-collar workers	356	316	88.8		11.00	2.30–52.64	
Time between first symptoms and surgery	<2y	515	474	92	NS	NI		NI
	≥2y	420	377	89.8				
Subjective imputation of cause to work	No	76	75	98.7	.051	1		NS
	Yes	839	758	90.4		5.12	0.67–39.31	
	Missing data	20	18	90	NS			NI
	No	612	562	91.8		NI		
	Yes	310	277	89.4				
	Missing data	13	12	92.3				
Dissatisfaction with surgery	No	772	717	92.9	<.0001	1		.02
	Yes	131	112	85.5		2.22	1.12–4.40	
	Missing data	32	22	68.8	<.0001			.0001
	No	583	504	86.5		1		
Sickness payment under the workers' compensation system	Yes	352	347	98.6		0.07	0.03–0.20	NS
	No	819	737	90		1		
Modification of task	Yes	116	114	98.3		0.32	0.07–1.41	

NOTE. OR greater than 1 means that subjects were less likely to have returned to work.

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NS, not significant ($P \geq .05$), NI=not included.

*Multivariate analysis.

†Univariate analysis.

‡Body mass index $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$.

§Obesity, pregnancy, diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, upper-limb trauma, or rheumatoid arthritis.

||Farmers, self-employed, managers, and executives were merged together for the logistic model (reference group), taking into account that managers and executives always returned to work.

Table 2: Probability of Return to Work in Days for Each Item (using Kaplan Meyer estimator) and Result of Log-Rank Test

Variable		Probability of Return to Work (95% CI)						log-Rank <i>P</i>
		30d	60d	91d	182d	273d	364d	
Sex	Women	19.0 (16.2–22.2)	58.3 (54.6–62.0)	76.4 (73.2–79.6)	87.5 (84.9–89.8)	90.5 (88.1–92.5)	91.1 (88.8–93.1)	.04
	Men	15.4 (11.5–20.5)	52.6 (46.6–58.8)	71.1 (65.5–76.6)	83.0 (78.1–87.3)	87.1 (82.6–90.9)	88.3 (84.0–91.9)	
Area	Loire Atlantique	14.6 (11.2–18.9)	63.8 (58.6–69.0)	78.4 (73.8–82.7)	86.0 (82.0–89.5)	90.3 (86.8–93.2)	91.2 (87.8–93.9)	.04
	Maine et Loire	19.9 (16.9–23.3)	52.9 (49.0–56.9)	73.1 (69.5–76.6)	86.4 (83.5–89.0)	89.1 (86.5–91.5)	89.9 (87.3–92.2)	
Age >50y	No	14.7 (12.1–17.8)	55.8 (51.9–59.8)	75.7 (72.2–79.1)	87.9 (85.1–90.3)	91.6 (89.2–93.6)	92.5 (90.1–94.4)	NS
	Yes	23.5 (19.3–28.4)	58.3 (53.1–63.6)	73.8 (69.0–78.4)	83.6 (79.5–87.4)	86.1 (82.2–89.6)	86.8 (82.9–90.2)	
Bilateral release	No	18.2 (15.8–21.0)	57.8 (54.5–61.1)	76.7 (73.8–79.5)	87.4 (85.1–89.5)	90.6 (88.6–92.5)	91.3 (89.3–93.1)	.0007
	Yes	16.2 (9.8–26.3)	46.2 (36.1–57.7)	56.2 (45.8–67.3)	73.7 (63.8–82.8)	77.5 (67.9–85.9)	80.0 (70.6–87.9)	
Associated surgery	No	18.9 (16.2–21.9)	61.0 (57.5–64.6)	80.0 (77.1–82.8)	89.2 (86.8–91.3)	91.9 (89.7–93.7)	92.6 (90.6–94.4)	.0000
	Yes	13.6 (9.4–19.7)	39.2 (32.4–46.8)	56.3 (49.1–63.7)	75.0 (68.4–81.1)	80.7 (74.5–86.1)	81.9 (75.8–87.2)	
Obesity*	No	18.5 (15.9–21.4)	58.4 (54.9–61.9)	76.2 (73.1–79.2)	87.3 (84.8–89.5)	90.7 (88.5–92.6)	91.4 (89.3–93.3)	.0025
	Yes	13.2 (8.6–19.9)	47.9 (40.1–56.4)	68.7 (61.1–76.1)	81.2 (74.5–87.1)	84.0 (77.6–89.5)	84.8 (78.4–90.1)	
Pregnancy	No	19.0 (16.0–22.3)	57.7 (53.8–61.7)	76.2 (72.7–79.5)	87.2 (84.4–89.8)	90.3 (87.8–92.5)	91.1 (88.6–93.2)	.0065
	Yes	23.5 (14.1–37.7)	78.4 (66.4–88.4)	92.2 (82.8–97.5)	96.1 (88.1–99.3)	96.1 (88.1–99.3)	96.1 (88.1–99.3)	
Diabetes mellitus	No	18.6 (16.1–21.3)	57.4 (54.1–60.6)	75.1 (72.2–77.9)	86.9 (84.6–89.0)	90.0 (87.9–91.9)	90.9 (88.8–92.7)	NS
	Yes	10.0 (3.9–24.5)	47.5 (33.5–63.9)	80.0 (66.5–90.6)	80.0 (66.5–90.6)	82.5 (69.4–92.3)	82.5 (69.4–92.3)	
Thyroid disease	No	18.0 (15.6–20.7)	56.7 (53.4–60.0)	75.4 (72.4–78.2)	86.3 (83.9–88.5)	89.5 (87.3–91.5)	90.3 (88.2–92.2)	NS
	Yes	20.5 (13.1–31.3)	60.3 (49.7–71.1)	75.6 (65.7–84.5)	91.0 (83.4–96.1)	92.3 (85.1–96.9)	92.3 (85.1–96.9)	
Upper-limb trauma (fracture)	No	18.1 (15.7–20.8)	56.7 (53.5–60.0)	74.8 (71.9–77.6)	86.4 (84.0–88.5)	89.7 (87.6–91.6)	90.6 (88.5–92.4)	NS
	Yes	16.7 (7.9–33.4)	58.3 (43.0–74.4)	80.6 (66.4–91.4)	83.3 (69.6–93.2)	86.1 (72.9–94.9)	86.1 (72.9–94.9)	
Rheumatoid arthritis	No	15.9 (12.9–19.4)	57.3 (53.0–61.7)	75.6 (71.7–79.3)	86.6 (83.4–89.4)	90.7 (87.9–93.0)	91.5 (88.8–93.7)	NS
	Yes	46.2 (24.0–75.2)	69.2 (44.6–90.5)	84.6 (61.2–97.5)	84.6 (61.2–97.5)	84.6 (61.2–97.5)	84.6 (61.2–97.5)	
Any personal risk factor for CTS [†]	No	18.4 (15.6–21.7)	56.9 (53.1–60.8)	75.2 (71.8–78.5)	86.8 (84.0–89.3)	90.5 (88.1–92.7)	91.5 (89.1–93.5)	NS
	At least 1	17.2 (13.4–21.9)	56.4 (50.9–62.1)	74.6 (69.6–79.3)	85.1 (80.9–88.9)	87.5 (83.5–90.9)	88.1 (84.2–91.5)	
Other musculoskeletal disorders	No	19.6 (16.2–23.5)	62.8 (58.4–67.2)	80.4 (76.7–83.9)	90.9 (88.0–93.3)	94.2 (91.8–96.1)	94.8 (92.5–96.6)	.0000
	Yes	16.9 (13.8–20.6)	51.1 (46.6–55.7)	70.3 (66.1–74.4)	82.3 (78.6–85.6)	85.3 (81.9–88.4)	86.3 (83.0–89.3)	
OCC	Farmers	51.6 (35.6–69.8)	80.6 (65.4–92.1)	87.1 (73.0–95.9)	96.8 (85.9–99.8)	96.8 (85.9–99.8)	96.8 (85.9–99.8)	.0000
	Self-employed	64.3 (47.0–81.1)	89.3 (74.9–97.3)	92.9 (79.6–98.7)	96.4 (84.6–99.7)	96.4 (84.6–99.7)	96.4 (84.6–99.7)	
	Managers, executives	65.9 (51.5–79.7)	92.7 (82.2–98.1)	97.6 (89.0–99.8)	97.6 (89.0–99.8)	100	100	
	Intermediates	22.4 (16.0–30.8)	72.0 (64.0–79.6)	84.8 (78.0–90.4)	91.2 (85.4–95.3)	93.6 (88.4–97.0)	93.6 (88.4–97.0)	
	Lower white-collar workers	15.7 (12.3–19.9)	59.8 (54.7–65.0)	79.5 (75.1–83.5)	88.6 (85.0–91.7)	89.8 (86.3–92.7)	90.4 (87.0–93.2)	
	Blue-collar workers	6.5 (4.4–9.6)	39.4 (34.6–44.7)	62.0 (56.9–67.0)	79.2 (74.8–83.2)	85.5 (81.6–88.9)	87.0 (83.2–90.2)	
Time between first symptoms and surgery	<2y	15.6 (12.7–19.0)	56.7 (52.5–61.0)	77.4 (73.7–80.9)	87.9 (84.9–90.6)	91.1 (88.4–93.3)	91.7 (89.1–93.9)	NS
	≥2y	21.0 (17.4–25.2)	56.8 (52.1–61.6)	72.1 (67.7–76.3)	84.2 (80.6–87.6)	87.7 (84.3–90.6)	88.7 (85.4–91.5)	
Subjective imputation of cause to work	No	57.9 (47.2–69.1)	85.5 (76.7–92.3)	94.7 (88.1–98.3)	97.4 (91.8–99.5)	97.4 (91.8–99.5)	100	.0000
	Yes	14.0 (11.8–16.5)	53.6 (50.2–57.0)	73.0 (69.9–75.9)	85.2 (82.7–87.5)	88.8 (86.6–90.8)	89.6 (87.4–91.6)	
>15y at the same job	No	18.1 (15.2–21.4)	58.5 (54.6–62.4)	77.3 (73.9–80.6)	87.7 (84.9–90.1)	90.6 (88.2–92.8)	91.5 (89.1–93.6)	.0455
	Yes	17.7 (13.9–22.5)	52.9 (47.5–58.6)	70.0 (64.8–75.0)	83.2 (78.9–87.1)	87.3 (83.3–90.7)	88.0 (84.1–91.4)	
Dissatisfaction with surgery	No	18.7 (16.1–21.6)	60.2 (56.7–63.6)	78.1 (75.1–80.9)	89.4 (87.1–91.4)	91.7 (89.6–93.5)	92.3 (90.3–94.0)	.0001
	Yes	15.4 (10.2–22.8)	42.3 (34.4–51.3)	62.3 (54.1–70.6)	73.1 (65.3–80.4)	81.8 (74.7–87.9)	83.5 (76.5–89.3)	
Sickness payment under the workers' compensation system	No	24.5 (21.2–28.2)	67.9 (64.1–71.7)	80.3 (77.0–83.5)	84.8 (81.8–87.6)	86.1 (83.1–88.7)	86.4 (83.5–89.1)	.0089
	Yes	7.4 (5.1–10.7)	38.4 (33.5–43.6)	66.2 (61.2–71.1)	88.6 (85.1–91.7)	95.2 (92.6–97.1)	96.7 (94.4–98.2)	
Modification of task	No	18.8 (16.2–21.6)	59.1 (55.7–62.5)	76.6 (73.6–79.4)	86.3 (83.8–88.5)	89.1 (86.9–91.2)	89.8 (87.6–91.8)	NS
	Yes	12.9 (8.0–20.5)	40.5 (32.2–50.0)	63.8 (55.1–72.4)	86.2 (79.3–91.7)	92.2 (86.5–96.2)	94.0 (88.6–97.3)	
Total		18.0 (15.7–20.6)	56.8 (53.6–60.0)	75.0 (72.2–77.7)	86.3 (84.0–88.4)	89.5 (87.5–91.4)	90.4 (88.4–92.2)	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; NS, not significant ($P \geq .05$).*Body mass index $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$.[†]Obesity, pregnancy, diabetes mellitus, thyroid disease, upper-limb trauma, or rheumatoid arthritis.

Fig 2. Survival-like curves using Kaplan-Meier estimator in each occupational category. x-axis, time between surgery and return to work; y-axis, percentage of return to work.

cated that results were similar for men and women and for the 2 areas.

Median duration of sick leave before return to work was 60 days. Different factors were associated with duration of sick leave before return to work in bivariate analyses (table 2): sex, area, bilateral release, other associated surgery, obesity, pregnancy, other upper-limb musculoskeletal disorder, OCC, subjective imputation of cause to work, time in the current job, dissatisfaction with surgery, and sickness payment under the workers' compensation system. OCC was the variable associated most strongly with duration of sick leave (fig 2). Other upper-limb musculoskeletal disorders and work compensation were associated with

OCC ($P < .05$). However, after multivariate analyses by using a Cox model (table 3), only bilateral release, other associated surgery, obesity, other upper-limb musculoskeletal disorder, OCC, subjective imputation of cause to work, and dissatisfaction with surgery were still associated significantly with duration of sick leave. No difference between the 2 areas was observed after adjustment for factors associated with return to work (or between hand centers), such as sickness leave payment under the workers' compensation system.

Table 3: Results of Multivariate Analysis on Duration of Sick Leave (Cox model)

Variable	Category	Hazard Ratio	$P > \chi^2$
Sex	Men vs women	0.98 (0.82–1.18)	NS
Area	Maine et Loire vs Loire Atlantique	0.90 (0.77–1.06)	NS
Age >50y	Yes vs no	1.03 (0.87–1.20)	NS
Bilateral release	Yes vs no	1.41 (1.05–1.87) [†]	.02
Associated surgery	Yes vs no	1.37 (1.13–1.67) [†]	.0015
Obesity*	Yes vs no	1.25 (1.02–1.54) [†]	NS
Diabetes mellitus	Yes vs no	1.22 (0.82–1.83)	NS
Upper-limb trauma (fracture)	Yes vs no	1.17 (0.79–1.72)	NS
Rheumatoid arthritis	Yes vs no	0.79 (0.42–1.49)	NS
Other musculoskeletal disorders	Yes vs no	1.19 (1.02–1.38) [†]	NS
OCC (reference: managers and executives)	Farmers	1.47 (0.88–2.46)	<.0001
	Self employed	1.08 (0.63–1.86)	
	Intermediates	2.21 (1.49–3.27) [†]	
	Lower white-collar workers	2.49 (1.71–3.61) [†]	
	Blue-collar workers	3.34 (2.28–4.90) [†]	
Time between first symptoms and surgery	≥2 vs <2y	1.01 (0.87–1.16)	NS
Subjective imputation of cause to work	Yes vs no	1.88 (1.43–2.48) [†]	<.0001
>15y at the same job	Yes vs no	1.14 (0.98–1.34)	NS
Dissatisfaction with surgery	Yes vs no	1.37 (1.10–1.70) [†]	.0046
Sickness payment under the workers' compensation system	Yes vs no	1.06 (0.90–1.24)	NS
Modification of the task	Yes vs no	0.99 (0.79–1.24)	NS

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; NS, not significant ($P \geq .05$).

*Body mass index $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$.

[†] $P < .05$.

DISCUSSION

Most patients with CTS returned to work after surgery. However, certain factors were associated with duration of sick leave, and the average probability of return to work related to each of these factors provides a fair description of prognosis for physicians and patients. This is the first time that this description has been obtained and it will help clinicians inform their patients. The prognostic factors are multiple, including medical (obesity, other musculoskeletal disorders), surgical (bilateral release at the same time, associated surgery, satisfaction with surgery), and occupational parameters (OCC, subjective imputation of cause to work).

Because patients were drawn from 3 hand centers, it is possible that there might have been selection of patients. However, although located in the Pays-de-la-Loire region, these 3 major hand centers are not based in similar areas and they have small differences in surgical practice (associated surgery). No significant differences in outcome were observed with or without adjustment for a possible area effect (although external validation is required). Multilevel analyses were not performed in view of the lack of area effect. Mini-open surgery has been performed by the surgical teams for many years. Although the decision to apply endoscopic carpal tunnel release instead of open carpal tunnel release remains a matter of debate, it seems that it should be guided by the surgeon's preference.^{11,19-21}

Occupational category appeared to be the strongest predictor of return to work and duration of sick leave, as reported in previous studies. In a study based on subjects who underwent surgery, Daniell et al²² found that sick leave was less likely to end before 6 months in industries with a high incidence of CTS. Exposure to intensive hand work also has been described as a poor predictor of return to work,¹² at least in bivariate analysis at 6 months.¹⁶ Repetitive movement at work and heavy manual work were associated with a longer return to work interval in a cohort of surgical cases¹⁸ (without influence of occupational category, but with inclusion of either employees or self-employed) and also in a French study by a different team.¹⁴

The relationship between sickness payment under a workers' compensation system and return to work is complex. Different results have been reported regarding the influence of workers' compensation.^{7,17,23-25} Workers' compensation in some systems may help workers obtain better care and work improvement, as in France, but may lead to longer duration of sick leave before return to work.¹⁴ Workers' compensation also is potentially representative of work exposure and social factors (personal communication: G. Pransky, Prognosis for return to work in carpal tunnel syndrome: a North American perspective, Premus, 2010).²⁵ It also may be a proxy for severity, bearing in mind that there is no major difference in France between the workers' compensation system and the usual health care system, and patients with mild CTS usually do not ask for compensation. We found a strong association in this study between sickness payment under the workers' compensation system and OCC, but the self-reported workers' compensation and missing information led us to consider this item in our study with caution.

Study Limitations

However, this retrospective study was based on hospital data and such a design can lead to potential selection and recall effects. For occupational exposure, we chose to use OCC instead of self-reported exposure to avoid recall effects regarding exposure, but we were not able to distinguish which types of social or specific work exposure were the most relevant. It is

true that OCC mixed different levels of physical demands (especially when they are pooled together for statistical reasons). Other baseline conditions, such as severity or intensity of pain at baseline and psychological variables, were not included in view of the possible memory effect associated with the retrospective design.

A potential attrition effect might have led to underestimation of the duration of sick leave (39.4% lost to follow-up). However, the average duration of sick leave reported in other studies has been 17 to 108 days, mostly depending on work characteristics and social categories (personal communication: G. Pransky, Prognosis for return to work in carpal tunnel syndrome: a North American perspective, Premus, 2010).^{7,14,26} surgical treatment,²² and receipt of sick pay.¹⁴ The median of 60 days and proportion of return to work at 90 and 180 days are consistent with previous studies of similar design in unselected populations of workers.^{22,26-28} It is interesting that average duration is similar between these North American studies undertaken in a mainly independent labor system and our results in a protective labor system in which, for example, workers cannot be fired when they are on sick leave for this reason. The financial loss for workers during sickness leave also is minimal, at least for the first 3 months. In this protective system, it also is of note that patients who did not return to the previous job remained unemployed. The variable return to work usually means return to any work.

CONCLUSION

The association with other musculoskeletal disorders that may need surgery (associated surgery, bilateral release) or not (other musculoskeletal disorders) also is consistent with previous studies.^{16,29} This could be considered another obstacle to return to work and also as an indicator of a physically demanding job in cases of upper-limb tendonitis. An unfavorable work environment, including physical and psychosocial factors,^{26,30} often is considered to indicate poor prognosis, probably also in combination with disorders other than CTS. Dissatisfaction with surgery potentially is an interesting factor, but was difficult to interpret considering that it included expectations and results. However, for the role of workers' compensation and OCC, further prospective studies are needed to clarify which factors best predict return to work, including work exposure, severity at baseline, and use of a functional index.

References

1. Atroshi I, Gummesson C, Johnsson R, Ornstein E, Ranstam J, Rosen I. Prevalence of carpal tunnel syndrome in a general population. *JAMA* 1999;282:153-8.
2. Roquelaure Y, Ha C, Nicolas G, et al. Attributable risk of carpal tunnel syndrome according to industry and occupation in a general population. *Arthritis Rheum* 2008;59:1341-8.
3. Roquelaure Y, Ha C, Pelier-Cady MC, et al. Work increases the incidence of carpal tunnel syndrome in the general population. *Muscle Nerve* 2008;37:477-82.
4. Adams ML, Franklin GM, Barnhart S. Outcome of carpal tunnel surgery in Washington State workers' compensation. *Am J Ind Med* 1994;25:527-36.
5. Feuerstein M, Burrell LM, Miller VI, Lincoln A, Huang GD, Berger R. Clinical management of carpal tunnel syndrome: a 12-year review of outcomes. *Am J Ind Med* 1999;35:232-45.
6. Katz JN, Losina E, Amick BC III, Fossel AH, Bessette L, Keller RB. Predictors of outcomes of carpal tunnel release. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;44:1184-93.
7. Schmelzer RE, Della Rocca GJ, Caplin DA. Endoscopic carpal tunnel release: a review of 753 cases in 486 patients. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2006;117:177-85.

8. Gardner BT, Dale AM, Vandillen L, Franzblau A, Evanoff BA. Predictors of upper extremity symptoms and functional impairment among workers employed for 6 months in a new job. *Am J Ind Med* 2008;51:932-40.
9. Gerritsen AA, Uitdehaag BM, van Geldere D, Scholten RJ, de Vet HC, Bouter LM. Systematic review of randomized clinical trials of surgical treatment for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Br J Surg* 2001; 88:1285-95.
10. Atroshi I, Larsson GU, Ornstein E, Hofer M, Johnsson R, Rans-tam J. Outcomes of endoscopic surgery compared with open surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome among employed patients: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2006;332:1473, 1-8.
11. Scholten RJ, Mink vdM, Uitdehaag BM, Bouter LM, de Vet HC. Surgical treatment options for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;CD003905.
12. Katz JN, Keller RB, Fossel AH, et al. Predictors of return to work following carpal tunnel release. *Am J Ind Med* 1997;31:85-91.
13. Carmona L, Faucett J, Blanc PD, Yelin E. Predictors of rate of return to work after surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Arthritis Care Res* 1998;11:298-305.
14. Chaise F, Bellemere P, Fril JP, Gaisne E, Poirier P, Menadi A. Return-to-work interval and surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome. Results of a prospective series of 233 patients. *J Hand Surg [Br]* 2004;29:568-70.
15. Amick BC III, Habeck RV, Ossmann J, Fossel AH, Keller R, Katz JN. Predictors of successful work role functioning after carpal tunnel release surgery. *J Occup Environ Med* 2004;46:490-500.
16. Katz JN, Amick BC III, Keller R, et al. Determinants of work absence following surgery for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Am J Ind Med* 2005;47:120-30.
17. Ellis RA, Novak CB, Mackinnon SE, Cheng CJ. Workers' compensation, return to work, and patient satisfaction after carpal tunnel decompression. *Am J Orthop* 2007;36:E63-6.
18. De Kesel R, Donceel P, De Smet L. Factors influencing return to work after surgical treatment for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2008;58:187-90.
19. Sanati KA, Mansouri M, Macdonald D, Ghafghazi S, Macdonald E, Yadegarfar G. Surgical techniques and return to work following carpal tunnel release: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Occup Rehabil* 2011. April 29, 2011 [E-pub ahead of print].
20. Scholten RJ, Gerritsen AA, Uitdehaag BM, van Geldere D, de Vet HC, Bouter LM. Surgical treatment options for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002;CD003905.
21. Scholten RJ, Gerritsen AA, Uitdehaag BM, van Geldere D, de Vet HC, Bouter LM. Surgical treatment options for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004;CD003905.
22. Daniell WE, Fulton-Kehoe D, Franklin GM. Work-related carpal tunnel syndrome in Washington State workers' compensation: utilization of surgery and the duration of lost work. *Am J Ind Med* 2009;52:931-42.
23. Higgs PE, Edwards D, Martin DS, Weeks PM. Carpal tunnel surgery outcomes in workers: effect of workers' compensation status. *J Hand Surg [Am]* 1995;20:354-60.
24. Katz JN, Lew RA, Bessette L, et al. Prevalence and predictors of long-term work disability due to carpal tunnel syndrome. *Am J Ind Med* 1998;33:543-50.
25. Bitar G, Alexandrides J, Missirian R, Sotereanos D, Nystrom A. Carpal tunnel release in the United States and Sweden: reimbursement patterns, cost for treatment, and return to work. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2002;109:1574-8.
26. Gimeno D, Amick BC III, Habeck RV, Ossmann J, Katz JN. The role of job strain on return to work after carpal tunnel surgery. *Occup Environ Med* 2005;62:778-85.
27. Pomerance J, Fine I. Outcomes of carpal tunnel surgery with and without supervised postoperative therapy. *J Hand Surg [Am]* 2007;32:1159-63.
28. Katz JN, Punnett L, Simmons BP, Fossel AH, Mooney N, Keller RB. Workers' compensation recipients with carpal tunnel syndrome: the validity of self-reported health measures. *Am J Public Health* 1996;86:52-6.
29. Manktelow RT, Binhammer P, Tomat LR, Bril V, Szalai JP. Carpal tunnel syndrome: cross-sectional and outcome study in Ontario workers. *J Hand Surg [Am]* 2004;29:307-17.
30. Silverstein BA, Fan ZJ, Bonauto DK, et al. The natural course of carpal tunnel syndrome in a working population. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2010;36:384-93.

Supplier

- a. SAS Institute Inc, 100 SAS Campus Dr, Cary, NC 27513-2414.